# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4704-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 2037

Subject: Agriculture and Animals, Business and Commerce, Contracts and Contractors

Liens

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 12, 2002

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005			
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** assume the proposal would have minimal or no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials of the **Secretary of State (SOS)** stated that this proposal would allow the Department of Agriculture to promulgate rules to implement the proposal. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Department of Agriculture could require as many as 14 pages in the *Code of State Regulations*. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in *Missouri Register* as in the *Code* because cost estimates and fiscal notes are not repeated in the *Code*. The estimated cost of a page in the *Missouri Register* is \$23. The estimated cost of a page in the *Code of State Regulations* is \$27. The estimated cost of publication in FY 2003 for this proposal is \$861. Actual cost could be more or less and costs in future years would depend upon frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn.

This proposal alone would not require the Secretary of State to hire additional personnel; however, the cumulative effect of several bills requiring rulemaking activity could require additional resources.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **DESCRIPTION**

VL:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 4704-01 Bill No. HB 2037 Page 3 of 3 March 12, 2002

This bill establishes a first-priority lien for a producer for amounts due under a production contract involving livestock, raw milk, or crops to be enforced against the commodity, the cash proceeds of the sale of the commodity, or the property of the contractor. The duration of the lien and the process for filing and enforcing the lien are provided in the bill.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Acting Director March 12, 2002